

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,139	10/743,139 12/23/2003		Hee Jung Hong	041501-5587	041501-5587 7491	
9629	7590 06/29/2005			EXAMINER		
		BOCKIUS LLP A AVENUE NW	DUONG	DUONG, TAI V		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2871	2871	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/743,139	HONG ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tai Duong	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	• •	» П						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/30/04,4/25/05</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/743,139

Art Unit: 2871

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 10-12, 14-17 and 20 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by copending Application No. 10/704,705 (Hong et al) which has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the copending application, it would constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), if published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or patented. This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is based upon a presumption of future publication or patenting of the copending application.

Note Fig. 6 which identically discloses the liquid crystal display device with a touch panel, comprising: a liquid crystal display device 81 displaying a picture image; a digitizer (91, 92) detecting a position of a stylus pen and located below the liquid crystal display device; a passivation layer 71 on an upper surface of the liquid crystal display device; and a top case 87 securing both the liquid crystal display device and the passivation layer, the passivation layer being formed on the first polarizing plate 82, the passivation being formed of a polyethylene terephtalate (PET, paragraph 0010) layer, the digitizer comprises: a sensor board PCB 88 generating an electromagnetic wave and detecting the electromagnetic wave from the stylus pen to detect a position of the

Application/Control Number: 10/743,139

Art Unit: 2871

stylus pen and located below the liquid crystal display device; a shield plate 89 preventing the electromagnetic wave generated from the sensor and located below the sensor board; and a digitizer board 92 driving the sensor and located below the shield plate (paragraphs 0056-0064).

This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the copending application was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. This rejection may not be overcome by the filing of a terminal disclaimer. See *In re Bartfeld*, 925 F.2d 1450, 17 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claims 3, 8, 9, 13, 18 and 19 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over copending Application No. 10/704,705 (Hong et al) which has a common inventor with the instant application and Bang et al (Pub. No. US 2004/0104900). Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the copending application, it would constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) if published or patented. This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is based upon a presumption of future publication or patenting of the conflicting application.

This provisional rejection might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the copending application was

Art Unit: 2871

derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by a showing of a date of invention for the instant application prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the copending application under 37 CFR 1.131. This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the copending application is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

As to claims 3 and 13, Hong et al disclose that it was known to form patterned spacers (paragraph 0055). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art to employ patterned spacers in the LCD panel for maintaining a substantially uniform thickness of the liquid crystal layer. Regarding claims 8, 9, 18 and 19, Bang et al disclose that it was known to employ the passivation layer being processed with a hard-coating treatment and an antiglare treatment (paragraph 0012). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art in view of Hong et al to employ the passivation layer being processed with a hard-coating treatment and an antiglare treatment in Hong's device and method for protecting the passivation layer and improving display contrast.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tai Duong at telephone number (571) 272-2291.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

DUNGT. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINE:

TVD

06/05